### **Temp Sending Unit and Gauge**

http://forums.corvetteforum.com/c1-and-c2-corvettes/2189912-temp-sending-unit-problem.html 11-24-2008, 01:47 AM #14

#### ddsjoseph (Thread starter) Temp sending unit problem?

I have a 65 coupe, with 327, 350 hp. The temp gauge always goes up to max at 240\* after 1/2 hour of driving, no matter what the ambient temp. So I changed the radiator to a 3 row high efficiency copper/brass and even added an external cooling fan. Then I changed the temp sending unit 3 times. I finally used an infrared temp gun to check the temp at the sending unit, and it showed 210\* instead of 240. I am not sure if it is the temp gauge inside the car that is inaccurate or the sending unit. Also, if it is the fault of the gauge, then would it be better to add a variable temp. sender resistor or just change the temp gauge inside.

#### <u>buns</u>


Do you really have an overheating problem, or just a gauge that is reading high? I would first try a different sending unit. Look at the chart below. The "typical" sender values are supposedly from the original G.M. specs. Look at the specs of the AC DELCO #G1852. My test shows they match at 200 degrees.


I don't know why Wells chose 220 degrees as a reference temperature. Once water in an open container starts boiling at 212 degrees, it won't get any hotter.

"TYPICAL" SENDER FROM BARRY K'S WEBSITE		MY ORIGINAL AC DELCO		WELLS # TU5	
Dratate it	OTTEDOTTE			TEMP	OHMS
TEMP	OHMS	TEMP	OHMS		
				100	440 TO 295
75	569	70	620	220	88 TO 72
80	539	80	580		
90	477	90	575	THESE ME	EASUREMENTS
100	410	100	515	ARE FROM	M THEIR WEBSITE.
110	355	110	440	NOBODY	IN MY NECK OF
120	300	120	390	THE WOO	DS SELLS WELLS.
130	240	130	340		
140	187	140	295		
150	171	150	250		
160	150	160	215		
170	134	170	185		
180	123	180	160		
190	112	190	140		
200	94	200	120		
210	83.5	210	105		
211	83	212	96		
STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS # TS6		<u>NEW AC DELCO</u> # <u>G1852</u>		NIEHOFF	
TEMP	OHMS	TEMP	OHMS	TEMP	OHMS
70	615	70	610	70	660
80	612	80	555	80	600
90	540	90	480	90	530
100	455	100	410	100	465
110	380	110	340	110	410
120	325	120	295	120	330
130	285	130	260	130	280
140	240	140	220	140	250
150	205	150	195	150	215
160	175	160	165	160	185
170	155	170	145	170	160
180	135	180	125	180	140
190	115	190	110	190	120
200	102	200	95	200	105
210	86	210	84	210	90
212	83	212	80	212	84
1000000000					

#### TEMPERATURE SENDER RESISTANCE CHART


You also need to look at your temperature gauge. There is a 90 ohm resistor on the back of it. Make sure it isn't burnt out. Also make sure that the silver terminal on the gauge has a good ground to the cluster.








In the picture above you can see that the Standard Brands, Niehoff and G.M. sending units look identical. I would surmise they were all built by the same manufacturer. You can also see that two of them already have teflon tape on them. Lots of people will tell you not to use it but I disagree.





Note the **nonlinearity of the curve** for Tim's **original AC Delco** sending unit as well as those in the figure above. This is why using the variable resistor can produce misleading results as noted by 62Jeff below. At 212 °F all the units read between 80-84 ohms with the exception of the original AC Delco which reads 96 ohms.

#### 62Jeff

"Remember that installing a variable resistor lets you tune your gauge to be accurate for a given temp, at the expense of accuracy in the other ranges."


#### Willcox Corvette

"You are 100 percent correct! Adding resistance only makes the instrument correct at one setting! Adjustable potentiometers are available from Radio Shack for about 5.00 or less if you want to go this route. Or once you know the resistance variable from chart I posted, you can purchase the needed resistor from the same place for about 1.00. This will dial you in for one temperature but the gauge is not linier and other readings will suffer."

#### MrD

"The LL and Wells sending units did not really match up every GM value but what I found interesting was the LL sending unit consistently has higher resistance values (or lower depending on how you view it) than the Wells unit."

Data supplied by Dennis (MrD)							
Temp	GM	LL Ohms	Wells				
	Ohms		Ohms				
100	410	325	375				
120	300	266	292				
140	187	193	235				
160	150	149	176				
180	123	112	145				
190	112	103	124				
200	94	90	116				
210	83.5	84	105				




Above is Dennis' (MrD) **temp. vs. resistance** data plotted out. It appears the GM sender has a steeper slope over the  $100^{\circ} - 140^{\circ}$  range whereas the slopes for the LL and Wells senders are similar but the LL sender reads about  $40\Omega$ - $50\Omega$  lower than the Wells. At  $120^{\circ}$ , the GM and Wells units are quite close. Over the 160-220° range the slopes for all three units are similar but the Wells reads about  $25\Omega$  higher than the GM and LL units which are quite similar.

#### Willcox Corvette

"You can check the accuracy of your sending unit by this scale."

220 degrees = 70 ohms 200 degrees = 90 ohms 160 degrees = 140 ohms 120 degrees = 250 ohms 100 degrees = 340 ohms

"Installing a resistor inline can drop the dash gage to where you need it but it will only make the gage accurate at that set point."



### Barry K

"...BTW, the AC Delco sender unit pictured above may have been sold under the Delco name, but it's obviously a newer style sender unit and as you mentioned, probably identical to the others and most likely off the same production line as the others shown. It is NOT the same as a real original Delco sending unit. You can see it doesn't have the correct markings on it.

Here is a pic (below) showing three real, original GM Delco temp sender units. The one on the left was the one that went bad out of my '65, the other two are used units I located that are correct original units also from the 60's, not aftermarket replacements or new style Delco units. Finding used or NOS original units was the only was I finally found to get accurate temp readings when the first unit in my car went bad. I refused to go with the wired in resistor method for the simple reason as already mentioned by Jeff - it's only accurate at one temp."



### JohnZ

The chances of teflon tape affecting the gauge reading are remote - the pipe threads are very sharp, and cut through the tape with little effort. Even if the tape did affect the grounding of the sender to the manifold, it would make the gauge read LOWER, not higher (higher resistance = lower gauge reading).

### JohnZ

The original **GM #1513321** senders (that still work) are hard to find; I have a "**Standard**" **ST-6** in my '67, and it's right on the money - just lucky, I think.

### Willcox Corvette

Teflon tape is one of the most over used tapes in the world IMHO. There is nothing wrong with using Teflon tape on your sender it's how you use it that counts!

Teflon tape us supposed to be used on the first two or three threads and is not used as a sealing tape! It is used as a lubricant to allow the pipe threads to seat further in the mating surface.

#### Willcox Inc

### Buns (Tim Welsh) - Teflon Tape Myth-----Busted!



#### Quote:

Originally Posted by **JohnZ** The chances of teflon tape affecting the gauge reading are remote - the pipe threads are very sharp, and cut through the tape with little effort. Even if the tape did affect the grounding of the sender to the manifold, it would make the gauge read LOWER, not higher (higher resistance = lower gauge reading).

## These pics tell the story:

# You have to love data!!!! DZ

